

pen^lccessPub

JOURNAL OF NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN CHEMISTRY

ISSN NO: 2377-2549

Review Article

DOI: 10.14302/issn.2377-2549.jndc-19-2720

Application of Acrylates in Enhanced Oil Recovery

A.N. El-hoshoudy^{1,2,*}, S.M. Desouky¹, and S. Gomaa²

¹Production department, Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, Naser City, Cairo, Egypt. ²Petroleum Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, British University in Egypt, Elshorouk City, Cairo, Egypt.

Abstract

Currently, enhanced oil recovery (EOR) acquire increased attention to recover more residual oil trapped after the primary and secondary process in petroleum reservoirs. EOR comprise different technologies involving chemical, thermal, miscible flooding techniques. Chemical flooding by the polymer is a widely implemented method on the academic and industrial scale. In this chapter, the authors discuss polymer flooding using polyacrylates that involve hydrolyzed polyacrylamide (HPAM), hydrophobically associated polyacrylamides (HAPAM), which grafted with different vinyl monomers such as acrylic acid, methyl methacrylates, and 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulfonic acid (AMPS). These polymers increase the viscosity of injected brine solutions, as a result, decrease mobility ratio and enhance sweeping efficiency, so the water act as a piston, which pushes oil in front of it, and consequently increase the recovery factor. The advantages and disadvantages of these polymers as well as comparing different flooding scenarios are reported.

| orresponding author: A.N. El-hoshoudy, Production department, Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, Naser City, Cai- o, Egypt. Email: <u>azizchemist@yahoo.com</u> | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Citation: A.N. El-hoshoudy, S.M. Desouky, S. Gomaa (2019) Application of Acrylates in Enhanced Oil Recovery. Journal of New Developments in Chemistry - 2(3):1-17. https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2377-2549.jndc-19-2720 | | | | | | |
| Keywords: Acrylates; enhanced oil recovery; polymer flooding | | | | | | |
| Received: Mar 20, 2019 | Accepted: Apr 11, 2019 | Published: Apr 13, 2019 | | | | |
| Editor: Xiaoyong Lu, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio, 45701 USA. | | | | | | |



Introduction

Polymer flooding is a common EOR technique, in which solutions of a high molecular weight water-soluble polymer is pumped into the reservoir in order to increase the water phase viscosity to obtain favorable mobility and minimize channeling effects, improving sweeping and displacement efficiencies [1]. With the world's attention on enhancing fossil-fuel production to satisfy national promotion and daily energy consumptions. Enhanced oil recovery (EOR) is of considerable academic and technological interest[2]. Crude oil recovery occurs through three distinct phases, firstly production results from the natural energy of the fluids and the rock decompression[3], where it recovers 5 to 15% of the initial reserve. The second stage is known as secondary recovery involving the injection of fluids such as water or gas to boast and maintain the reservoir pressure, so force the oil into the wellbores of producing wells and recovery factor reach 10-20% [4-6]. The last stage is combined under the name of Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR), which recover between 10 and 35% of additional oil and comprise thermal, miscible and chemical methods. EOR can be defined as any processes that increase oil recovery by reduction of the residual oil saturation (S_{or}) after primary and secondary production. Virtually, EOR technologies involve the injection of fluids not normally present in the reservoir (e.g. polymers, foams, surfactants) in order to increase oil recovery [7]. Tertiary or enhanced oil recovery aims to recover the remaining original oil in place (OOIP) [7] and increase the ultimate oil recovery of the reservoir through one or more sophisticated techniques including chemical, thermal, and miscible flooding[8] for recovering up to an additional 40 % of the OOIP. Several kinds of literature discuss the application of polymer flooding in enhanced oil recovery. Dabbous, 1977 [9] conducted the flooding tests in heterogeneous porous media showed that preinjection of the polymer could result in better flooding efficiency. Platt and James, 1981[10] prepared poly (alpha-alkoxy) acrylamides and claimed improved stability in brine solutions. Similar claims were made by Hunter, 2008 [11] and Cao et al, 2015[12]who prepared N-substituted PAM/AA via ethoxylation. Talley, 1993[13] disclosed terpolymers of acrylamide, acrylonitrile and acrylic acid, the latter optionally alkoxylated with ethylene oxide. McCormick et al, 1982&2014 [14, 15])



sulfonated copolymerize monomers such as 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulfonic acid (AMPS) with acrylamide monomers. Martin et al, 1983[16] studied the synthesis of polyacrylamide containing imide rings and concluded that they are less susceptible to alkaline hydrolysis than PAM. Moreover, they studied the viscosity retention in brine for hydrolyzed PAM/AA versus copolymerized PAM/AA and found little difference in the two, claiming that shear resistance of the hydrolyzed PAM/AA was superior to the copolymers. Osterloh and Jante, 1992[17] mention that surfactant adsorption is minimized in the presence of polymer thus the use of a surfactant-polymer flood could be highly favorable and justified. Farouq-Ali and Thomas, 2001[18] also discuss the limitations of the use of polymer floods and mention that being introduced earlier in the life of a water flood is a better option. They also report incremental oil recoveries in the order of 5% on average. Schramm, 2000 [19] discusses the concept of "Low Tension Polymer Flood" (LTPF). He concluded that; the flood in the first instance was conducted by co-injection of the surfactant and polymer and, due to chromatographic effects, the polymer moved ahead of the surfactant. Du and Guan, 2004[20] discuss the field application of polymer floods and conclude that when applied after the reservoir has been extensively flooded by other means, polymer floods have been unsuccessful. Another observation they make is that in reservoirs of low average permeability, injectivity of the floods greatly reduced with the addition of a polymer and resulted in poor performance. El-hoshoudy et al group [21-29] discuss the preparation and application of different hydrophobically modified polyacrylates and their modified nanocomposites as well as biopolymers and their composites in the field of enhanced oil recovery. They also reported about the use of 1-vinyl imidazole surfmer for the synthesis of polyacrylamide-SiO₂ nanocomposite for severe polymer flooding conditions. Other literature reported about the use of quaternary ammonium-based surfmer with polyacrylamide co-polymer for flooding in carbonate rock[30]. Others reported about modification of starch biopolymers through grafting with different vinyl monomers to be applied in enhanced oil recovery applications[31, 32]. Gou et al [33]reported about copolymerization of acrylamide (AM), acrylic acid (AA), 1-acrylamido



ethyl-2-oleic imidazoline (ACEIM) with the sodium salts (NDS) of 3-(diallyl-amino)-2-hydroxypropyl or 2-acrylamido-2 methylpropane sulfonic acid (AMPS) for polymer flooding at harsh reservoir conditions of high salinity and temperature. Hsieh et al [34] describe the synthesis of water-soluble polymers suitable for enhanced oil recoverv applications through polymerization of acrylamide, N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone, sodium 2-acrylamido 2-methylpropanesulfonate and N, N-dimethylacrylamide. Lai et al [35] synthesize a novel hyperbranched polymer using acrylamide (AM), acrylic acid (AA), N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone (NVP), and dendrite functional monomer as raw materials by redox initiation system in an aqueous medium to be applied in enhanced oil recovery. Liu et al [36]fabricated a novel star-like hydrophobically associative polyacrylamide used in enhanced oil recovery (EOR) processes in harsh reservoir conditions. Pu et al [2]reported about the synthesis of а novel water-soluble core-shell hyperbranched polymers (HBPAMs), consisting of nano silica core, hyperbranched polyamidoamide (PAMAM) as subshell and linear hydrophilic chains as the outermost layer, through in-situ free radical polymerization strategy. Raffa et al [1] fabricate amphiphilic copolymers based on Poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate (PEGA) by Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization (ATRP). AP(PEGA) homopolymer, a block copolymer with styrene PS-bP(PEGA), and an analogous terpolymer including also sodium methacrylate (MANa) in the poly(PEGA) (PPEGA) block, PS-bP(PEGA-co-MANa) have been prepared and characterized to be applied in polymer flooding. Sun et al [37] synthesize a novel amphiphilic polymer based on radical copolymerization of acrylamide, dodecyl polyoxyethylene acrylate (DPEA), and N-(1,1,3,3-tetramethyl butyl) acrylamide (TBA), persulfate-sodium bisulfite using potassium as initiator-activator for enhanced oil recovery (EOR). Tian and Xu [38] prepare a copolymer act as a profile control agent via copolymerization of vinyl triethoxy silane (VTEOS) as а temperature tolerant monomer Isobutane-Ethylhexyl acrylate (2-EHA) and as а salt-resistant monomer with acrylamide monomer (AM) during a free radical copolymerization process. Tong et al [39] fabricate mono-dispersed poly (acrylamide-cosodium acrylate) hydrogel microparticles with a controlled water absorbance capacity in a droplet



microfluidic device which can be used for enhanced oil recovery application. Xu et al [40]proposed a new polymer synthesized from acrylamide (AM), 2-acrylamido -2-methylpropane sulfonic acid (AMPS) and 10hydroxydecylmethacrylate. This polymer thickening the formation brine in harsh reservoir conditions and emulsify the crude oil, in such a way that the accumulative oil recovery of polymer floods using this polymer is expected to be far greater than that of a conventional polymer such as HPAM. Zhang et al [41] reported about the radical polymerization of acrylamide, acryloyl morpholine, and 2-acrylamide-2-methyl propane -sulfonate in water initiated with ammonium persulfate or 2,2-azo bis(2 methylpropionamide) dihydrochloride to produce copolymer for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) applications. The categories of different EOR methods comprise;

Thermal Flooding

Injection of steam has historically been the most widely applied EOR method. Heat from steam or hot water dramatically reduces heavy oils viscosity, thus improving its flow. The process involves: cyclic steam injection ("huff and puff", where steam is first injected, followed by oil production from the same well); Continuous steam injection (where steam injected into wells drives oil to separate production wells); hot water injection, and steam assisted gravity drainage (SAGD) using horizontal wells, among others.

Miscible Flooding

Miscible EOR employs supercritical CO₂ to displace oil from a depleted oil reservoir. CO₂ improve oil recovery by dissolving in, swelling, and reducing the viscosity of the oil. In deep high-pressure reservoirs, compressed nitrogen has been used instead of CO₂. Hydrocarbon gases (natural gas and flue gas) have also been used for miscible oil displacement in some large reservoirs. These displacements may simply amount to "pressure maintenance" in the reservoir [42]. CO₂ miscible flooding in crude oil reservoirs is a successful technique to reduce its amount in the atmosphere, in addition to increasing the mobility of the oil and, consequently, increase the reservoir productivity. It is preferred other than hydrocarbon gases since it does not only increase oil recovery but also causes a reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Moreover, it is a cheap



technology as an ultimate long-term geologic storage solution for CO_2 owing to its economic productivity from incremental oil production offsetting the cost of carbon sequestration, and exhibit high displacement efficiency and the potential for environmental contamination decrease through its disposal in the petroleum reservoir[43].

Chemical Flooding

Chemical flooding involves the injection of an agent not normally present in the reservoir to enhance the oil displacement. The chemical flooding processes involve the injection of three kinds of chemicals; alkaline, surfactant, and polymer (soluble and cross-linked polymers), in addition to other chemicals such as foaming agents, acids and solvents[19] and/or combination of alkaline-surfactant-polymer flooding (ASP), and surely the most important substance in these methods is polymer flooding[44]. In the polymer flooding method, water-soluble polymers aimed to shut-off the high-permeability areas of the reservoir and increase injected water viscosity to increase the swept areas in the reservoir [45] leading to a more efficient displacement of moderately viscous oils. Addition of a surfactant to the polymer formulation may, under very specific circumstances, reduce oil-water interfacial tension and hence remobilizing the trapped oil [46], changing the wettability of the surface, forming emulsions, so enhance the oil production. For some oils, alkaline may convert some naphthenic acids within the oil to surfactants due to the formation of in-situ surfactant. The alkaline may also play a beneficial role in reducing surfactant retention in the rock. For all chemical flooding processes, the inclusion of a viscosifier (usually a water-soluble polymer) is required to provide an efficient sweep of the expensive chemicals through the reservoir.

Other EOR Flooding Processes

Over the years, a number of other innovative EOR processes have been conceived. These methods exhibit varying degrees of promise but require additional development before practical applications. These methods including the following categories;

Injection of Carbonated Water

In which, carbon dioxide (CO_2) is dissolved into the water phase before injecting into the reservoir. The



dissolved CO_2 will transfer from the water phase to the oil phase due to the chemical potential difference of the CO_2 in two phases (as a driving force). This interphase mass transfer reduces the oil viscosity, lowers the oilwater interfacial tension (IFT), and causes oil swelling, which will be responsible for the reconnection of isolated residual oil ganglia, mobilizing the trapped oil[47].

Microorganisms

application of microorganisms in enhanced oil recovery known as microbially enhanced oil recovery (MEOR). MEOR involves that microbial metabolites are produced in the reservoir rock formation, which makes them more effective. Furthermore, microorganisms metabolize different hydrocarbons at different rates, so boast oil recovery[48].

Foams and Other Formulations

Foam is employed to improve the displacement efficiency by which the displacing fluid sweeps the reservoir and increase the recovered oil amount[49].

Generally, a flow sheet of EOR processes, worldwide EOR project categories, and different flooding techniques are shown in Figures 1-3 respectively.

Properties of Acrylates Polymers

Most polymers used in enhanced oil recovery applications is anionic acrylamide-based polymers which characterized by high molecular weight 4-30 million Dalton and high polydispersity index (PDI) and derived from [3]; 1) Copolymerization of acrylamide and sodium acrylate (or/ and sodium Acrylamido-Tertio-Butyl Sulfonate copolymerization (ATBS)), where of acrylamide and sodium acrylate leads to polymer with a more uniform distribution of the anionic charges; 2) Co-hydrolysis or post-hydrolysis of a polyacrylamide; 3) Copolymerization or ter-polymerization of acrylamide with other ionic or non-ionic functional monomers. Polyacrylate polymers exhibit Non- Newtonian behavior (display pseudo plastic criteria) in which viscosity decrease with a shearing increase [30-32]. Since viscosity related to shear rate by the following equation

$\mu K \gamma^{-n}$ (Eq1)

Where γ *is the shear rate* (s⁻¹), μ is the viscosity, cp; K & n are constants. The minus sign indicates the inverse relationship as indicated in figure 4. Polyacrylamide co-polymers containing a sulfonated





| Enhanced oil recovery methods | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|------------------|------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Non-Thermal Methods Thermal Methods | | | | | Others | Combination EOR | | | | |
| Gas EOR | Gas EOR (Water-Based EOR) | | Bio | Hot Water | Steam Combustion | | | | WAG & SWAG | |
| Miscible Gas | Immiscible Gas | | | | | | | | Electrical Beam | CO ₂ +WAG |
| Enriched Gas Drive (<u>Hc's</u> Gases) | Acidic Gases (CO ₂ Immis- cible & Sour Gases) | Liquid Solvent | Emulsion | Microbial EOR | | Cyclic huff and puff Steam Injection | VAPEX+ Steam | THAI | Heating | Miscible CO2+ SAGD |
| Vaporizing Gas Drive | N ₂ immisci- ble | Micellar | Surfactant | | | Continuous (Drive) Steam In jection | | Combustion with additives | | ASP+CO ₂ |
| Acidic Gases (CO ₂ Miscible & Sour Gases) | Inert Gas | AS/SP/ ASP Low salinity water | Polymer (Gel Treat- ment & Polymer Flooding) | Enzyme EOR | | SAGD | SAGP CAGD | CAGD | Foams | Foam + Misci- ble CO ₂ |
| N ₂ Flooding | Flue Gases | VAPEX | Alkaline | | | | | | | |
| Figure 1. Flow sheet of enhanced oil recovery methods [50]. | | | | | | | | | | |









Figure 3. Different EOR flooding techniques





monomer are the best candidates for salt tolerance. Under reservoirs conditions, polymers are susceptible to chemical degradation due to the formation of free radicals which attack the polymer chain and result in a reduction of hydrodynamic volume. This chemical degradation occurs owing to; 1)decreasing the intramolecular/intermolecular bindings (hydrogen and van der Waal's) bonding by electrolytes addition which results in compressing the thicknesses of the electric double layer and hydration layer of the ionic groups, so shield ionic repulsion and causes macromolecular chain contraction with small hydrodynamic volumes, resulting in apparent viscosity reduction; 2) by salinity increase, the solution charge is neutralized, so polymer chain condenses, and viscosity reduction occurs [29, 52]. Moreover, increasing of temperature increase polymer hydrolysis degree and generating a higher charge density of anionic functionalities along the polymer backbone. This behavior resort to dissociation of polymer chains specially polyacrylamides in carboxyl groups, which in turn react with divalent cations in the petroleum reservoir. Recently, another range of commercial polymers called associative polymers has been developed to obtain very high resistance factors in the reservoir. These polymers contain both hydrophobic and hydrophilic moieties. The hydrophobic parts can associate in water and provide very high viscosities and resistance factors but with a good propagation in cores [53]. More attention has been paid to multifunctional polymers, especially imidazole- and imidazoline-containing polymers which show a wide range of potential applications[33] in addition to other vinylated monomers. In recent decades, many studies demonstrated that acrylamide (AM) copolymerized with an applicable functional monomer, such as N, N-dimethylacrylamide, methacrylamide, N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone (NVP), 2-acrylamido- 2-methyl-1propane sulfonic acid (AMPS), sodium allyl sulfonate, acrylic acid (AA), and ethylene sulfonic acid, could obtain more satisfying polymer possessing better temperature-resistance and salt-resistance for EOR[35]. The rheological and viscosity values of polyacrylates are provided in table 1.

Addition of water-soluble polymer could thicken the formation brine through molecular chain extension, and greatly improve overall oil recovery. This attractive



EOR technique referred to polymer as flooding [40]. Several publications reported about the different hydrophobically synthesis of associated polyacrylamides (HAPAM) and their modified copolymers, as well as the introduction of special functional groups for improvement of water-solubility, temperature resistance, anti-shear property, and salt tolerance of partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide (HPAM). Sulfonate groups attached to the PAM chain can improve effectively the performance on confronting harsh environments like high temperature or high salinity[33].

Polymer Flooding Process

Polymer flooding can be carried alone or in combination with other fluids such as alkaline materials for solubilizing the oil and comprise sodium carbonate, caustic soda, borate, and metaborate compounds, silicates, metasilicates, amines, basic polymeric species, or by combination with surfactant molecules[3]. Polymer flooding can increase recovery up to 5-30% OOIP [54]. Polymer flooding process involves the injection of polymer "slug" followed by continued longterm water flooding to drive the polymer slug and the oil bank in front of it toward the production wells as shown in Figure 5. When water is injected into a reservoir, it seeks the path of least resistance (usually the layers of highest permeability) to the lower pressure region of the offset producing wells. If the oil in place has a higher viscosity than the injected water, the water will finger through this oil and result in a low sweep efficiency, or bypassed oil. Flooding tests generated according to the following steps; 1) The sand was firstly cleaned and evacuated then saturated by brine for 14 days followed by oil saturation, then brine flooded until oil cut ceased (i.e. oil cut <1%) and the residual oil amount calculated; 2) the polymer solution with different slug concentrations was flooded at simulated reservoir temperature to determine recovery factor.

Principle and Mechanism of Polymer Displacement

One of the routine screening parameters used for a preliminary analysis of a reservoir is the mobility ratio that represents effects of relative permeability and viscosity of water and oil on mobility based on Darcy's Law [44].

$$M = \frac{\lambda_W}{\lambda_O} = \frac{K_W / \mu_W}{K_O / \mu_O} = \frac{K_W \mu_O}{K_O \mu_W} \qquad \dots \dots (1)$$



1



| Shear rate, S ⁻¹ | Apparent Viscosity, cp | Shear Stress, Pa 8.93 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 2.42 | 276.97 | | |
| 4.62 | 225.20 | 13.87 | |
| 6.82 | 198.81 | 18.08 | |
| 9.02 | 181.80 | 21.88 | |
| 11.22 | 169.54 | 25.38 | |
| 13.42 | 160.09 | 28.67 | |
| 15.62 | 152.50 | 31.80 | |
| 17.82 | 146.21 | 34.78 | |
| 20.02 | 140.86 | 37.65 | |
| 22.22 | 136.24 | 40.42 | |
| 24.42 | 132.18 | 43.11 | |
| 26.62 | 128.59 | 45.71 | |
| 28.82 | 125.36 | 48.25 | |
| 31.02 | 122.44 | 50.73 | |
| 33.22 | 119.79 | 53.16 | |
| 35.42 | 117.35 | 55.53 | |
| 37.62 | 115.11 | 57.86 | |
| 39.82 | 113.04 | 60.14 | |
| 42.02 | 111.11 | 62.38 | |
| 44.22 | 109.31 | 64.59 | |
| 46.42 | 107.62 | 66.76 | |
| 48.62 | 106.04 | 68.90 | |
| 50.82 | 104.55 | 71.01 | |
| 53.02 | 103.14 | 73.09 | |
| 55.00 | 101.94 | 74.93 | |
| 55.00 | 101.94 | 74.93 | |
| 55.00 | 101.94 | 101.94 74.93 | |
| 55.00 | 101.94 | 74.93 | |







Figure 5. Polymer flooding mechanism [42, 55]

Where

| М | Mobility ratio |
|-------------|--|
| λw | Water phase mobility |
| λο | Oil phase mobility |
| Kw | Relative permeability of water phase, MD |
| Ko | Relative permeability of oil phase, MD |
| μw | Water phase viscosity, cp |
| μο | Oil phase viscosity, cp |

Mobility ratio (M) is defined as mobility of the displacing phase divided by the mobility of the displaced phase. Water-soluble polymer reduce water mobility through different mechanisms: (1) increase the water phase viscosity; 2) reduce the relative permeability of water to the porous rock by adsorption/retention of the polymer in the rock pore throats[56] and thereby creating a more efficient and uniform front to displace unswept oil from the reservoir; 3) Another parameter is the viscoelasticity associated with the use of high Molecular weight (M_w) or associative polymers which will recover additional entrapped oil compared to a conventional Newtonian fluid injection[3, 57]. With a reduced mobility ratio, the sweeping efficiency is increased and, as a consequence, oil recovery is enhanced [58]. If the mobility ratio (M \leq 1), the sweeping and displacement efficiencies of the oil by the water phase will be efficient and pistons like fashion [59]. By contrast, if the mobility ratio (M > 1), is considered "unfavorable" and unstable because the more mobile displacing fluid will flow more readily than the displaced fluid, and lead to serious viscous fingerings or channel through the oil causing "breakthrough" leaving behind regions of unswept oil. As a result, some of the residual oil is bypassed resulting in poor recovery [60]. There is also a secondary effect, in which the polymer restores part of the reservoir pressure after its passage (residual resistance factor) [58]. This occurs because the polymer builds up a resistance to flow in the portions of the reservoir it penetrates, due to the selective reduction of relative permeability that results from its adsorption [58]. This increased resistance to flow diverts subsequently injected water into poorly swept areas. Polymer adsorption reduces the relative permeability to the wetting phase more than the relative permeability to the non-wetting phase in water-wet reservoirs [58, 61]. The reduction of the relative permeability to water is due to the improved competitive effects of both pore-size restriction and wettability brought about by polymer adsorption[58]. The most widely used polymers in enhanced oil recovery involve partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamides (HPAM) and hydrophobically associated polyacrylamides (HAPAM).

Partially Hydrolyzed polyacrylamide (HPAM)

HPAM consists of a large proportion of intermediate hydrophilic amide groups and hydrophilic



carboxyl groups. Both amide groups and carboxyl groups are linearly introduced onto the backbone of polymeric chains, providing HPAM with favorable solubility and desirable viscosity in fresh water and low salinity formation water [36]. HPAM is a synthetic linear chain copolymer of acrylamide and acrylate monomers [62]. They are flexible chain appears as a random coil and since it is a polyelectrolyte, it will interact with ions in solution. The main polyacrylamides used are anionic in nature[3]. Many key aspects need to be considered for the design of a polymer flood such as reservoir characteristics (lithology, stratigraphy, fractures), distribution of remaining oil, well pattern and spacing, polymer degradation, rheology of the polymer solution, compatibility with other chemicals, cost effectiveness [3]. HPAM show good viscosifying properties, available in various molecular weights up to 30 million Dalton of relatively low price and can be used for temperatures up to 99 °C depending on brine hardness, so used currently in EOR applications. It is produced generally as free-flowing powders or as selfinverting emulsions. Some experiences reported that acrylamide-based polymers have some disadvantages, such as easy hydrolysis, easy adsorption in the stratum, poor shear stability and poor thermal stability[38], and can be summarized as follow;

High sensitivity to thermal, mechanical and chemical degradation at high temperatures) typically above 200°F and exhibit decreasing viscosity by temperature increase[63].

Highly susceptible to the presence of oil, surfactant and other reservoir chemicals.

Precipitation can occur if Ca²⁺or Mg²⁺is present in the water also, their carboxyl groups are screened by positive ions, leading to reduced viscosity and a limited application in wells with high temperature and salinity[64] due to ionic groups shielding, which reduces repulsion and causes chain contraction.

Undergoes molecular weight degradation upon heating in oxygen presence [65].

Respond to physical or chemical stimuli, such as temperature, solvent, mechanical stress, radiation (UV, visible light), and ionic strength [66].

In polymer flooding, the ratio R_h/R_g [pore throat radius (R_h) to gyration radius (R_g)] of a polymer chain



should be greater than five [67] so, HPAM cause pore throat plugging after a given time [68] because of its large molecular size [69].

A comparison of different flooding scenarios indicating the cumulative oil recovery, resistance factor and residual resistance factor are summarized in table 2.

Hydrophobically Associated Polyacrylamide (HAPAM)

Most widely used water-soluble polymers including polyacrylamide and partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide, are not suitable for high temperature, high salinity, and high flow rate injection owing to decomposition, degradation, hydrolysis, shear damage [35, 62], so these polymers modified by addition of hydrophobic moieties on the backbone structure[36] to develop a novel temperature and salinity resistant mobility control agents (polymers) hydrophobically which known as associated polyacrylamides (HAPAM)[77]. These polymers class have attracted much attention on both academic and industrial laboratories for polymer flooding in enhanced oil recovery [78, 79] because of their unique structures and properties, including their thickening properties, shear thinning, and anti-polyelectrolyte behavior which has been widely investigated in oil chemistry additives such as mobility control agents and rheology modifiers[80]. In addition to enhanced thermal stability, relative permeability modifiers, sweeping efficiency, salt-tolerance behavior and high viscosifying properties for IOR or EOR applications[81]. These polymers synthesized by modification of partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide (HPAM) through grafting or incorporating hydrophobic chain cross-linking segments onto their hydrophilic main chain [33] or by copolymerization of hydrophilic and hydrophobic monomers [82]. They considered as promised EOR candidates for polymer flooding in high salinity reservoirs, owing to their unique characteristics[83] which can be summarized as follow;

In aqueous solutions, above a critical association concentration (C*), their hydrophobic groups develop intermolecular hydrophobic associations nanodomains, leading to building up of a in 3D-transient network structure in high ionic strength medium [84] so, providing excellent viscosity building capacity [79, 85, 86], remarkable rheological properties and better stability with respect to salts than the





| Table 2. Comparison of the different flooding reports | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|-------------|---|--|
| Reported works | Cumulative oil recovery | Resistance factor Residual resistance factor | | Applied polymer type | |
| Qiao and Zhu[70] | 72.8% | × | | Modified cationic starch | |
| Ghosh et al[71] | × | × | 0.96 – 1.22 | Polyacrylamide composite | |
| Lai et al[72] | × | 11.8 | 2.4 | hyperbranched polyacryla- mide polymer | |
| Salehi et al[73] | × | × | 1.98 | Sulfonated polyacrylamide hydrogels | |
| Singh and Mahto [74] | × | 14.21 | 1.11 | Polyacrylamide graft starch/ clay nanocomposite hydrogel | |
| Chuan et al[75] | × | 9.38 | 3.39 | surfmer-co-poly acrylates crosslinked hydrogels | |
| El-hoshoudy et al[76] | 85.35% | 18.3 | 9.0 | Modified cationic starch | |

unmodified HPAM precursors [87].

Reduce interfacial tension at the solid/liquid interface, since hydrophobic moieties associates forming aggregates or micelles.

Shows an unusual adsorption isotherm[88] so, can be considered as a wettability modifier.

Does not undergo mechanical degradation under high shear stress such as those encountered in pumps and near the wellbore area, since the physical links between chains are disrupted before any irreversible degradation occurs, also they reform and retain their viscosity upon shear decreasing [89].

Highly resistance to physicochemical conditions (temperature, pH, and ion content) prevailing around the wells, so considered a prospective EOR candidate thickeners or rheology modifiers as in high temperature, high-pressure reservoirs [90-92], reservoir stimulation[93] and tertiary oil recovery [94].

Hydrophobically associating polyacrylamide are prepared conveniently by a micellar polymerization method, a lot of small molecule surfactants need to be added in order to enable hydrophobic monomer to be solubilized into micelles, and the addition of small

molecule surfactants brings many negative influences [95]. When hydrophilic surfmers are adopted HAPAM, homogeneous to prepare phase copolymerization of hydrophilic surfmers and acrylamide in aqueous solution can be carried out because of their solubility in water, and those drawbacks caused by the addition of small molecule surfactants can be avoided completely. Furthermore, when the concentration of surfmers is above their critical micellar concentration (CMC), their copolymerization with acrylamide follows the macroblock copolymerization mechanism, namely, surfmer molecules will incorporate into the macromolecular main chains as macroblock form. The macroblock macromolecular structure leads to more effectively hydrophobic associating of the hydrophobic chains, side stronger thickening property of HAPAM [81] and improved salinity resistance of HAPAM. Surfmers can copolymerize with the main monomer and they become covalently bound to particles surface forming integral polymeric materials. As a result, desorption of surfactant from the polymer particles or migration in the polymer films is impeded. Such improvements of latex and/or polymer stability properties[96] have been reported for mechanical stability, electrolyte stability of the latex[97], a decrease





of surfactant migration[98] and control of surface charge density[99].

Conclusion

Enhanced oil recovery is a widely implemented technology nowadays due to a sustained energy crisis associated with overgrowing human civilization. These aspects impose on chemists and scientists to discover and develop novel materials and techniques to maximize trapped oil in the reservoirs. One of these developed technologies is polymer flooding through copolymerization of different acrylate monomers which can withstand salinity, temperature, PH and bacterial degradation, in addition to increasing water phase viscosity. In this work, properties of acrylate polymers, as well as rheological and solution properties, were investigated at simulated reservoir conditions of high salinity and temperature. Moreover, the mechanism and techniques of polymer flooding were considered. On the other hand, a comparison between hydrolyzed and hydrophobically associated polymers was evaluated with respect to EOR applications. Several authors do their best effort in this field and already synthesize different polymers, but the increased energy demand impose more and more research on these applications.

References

- Raffa P, Broekhuis AA, Picchioni F. Amphiphilic copolymers based on PEG-acrylate as surface active water viscosifiers: Towards new potential systems for enhanced oil recovery. Journal of Applied Polymer Science 2016;133(42).
- Pu W-F, Liu R, Wang K-Y, Li K-X, Yan Z-P, Li B, et al. Water-soluble core–shell hyperbranched polymers for enhanced oil recovery. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 2015;54(3):798-807.
- Thomas A, Gaillard N, Favero C. Some key features to consider when studying acrylamide-based polymers for chemical enhanced oil recovery. Oil & Gas Science and Technology–Revue d'IFP Energies nouvelles 2012;67(6):887-902.
- 4. El-hoshoudy ANMB. Emulsion Polymerization Mechanism. Recent Research in Polymerization. InTech; 2018.
- 5. El-Hoshoudy A, Desouky S, Elkady M, Alsabagh A, Betiha M, Mahmoud S. Investigation of optimum

polymerization conditions for synthesis of crosslinked polyacrylamide-amphoteric surfmer nanocomposites for polymer flooding in sandstone reservoirs. International Journal of Polymer Science 2015;2015.

- El-hoshoudy A, Desouky S, Elkady M, Alsabagh A, Betiha M, Mahmoud S. Hydrophobically associated polymers for wettability alteration and enhanced oil recovery–Article review. Egyptian Journal of Petroleum 2016.
- Green DW, Willhite GP. Enhanced oil recovery. Henry L. Doherty Memorial Fund of AIME, Society of Petroleum Engineers Richardson, TX; 1998.
- Chukwudeme EA, Fjelde I, Abeysinghe KP, Lohne A. Effect of interfacial tension on water/oil relative permeability and remaining saturation with consideration of capillary pressure. SPE EUROPEC/ EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition. Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2011.
- Dabbous MK. Displacement of polymers in waterflooded porous media and its effects on a subsequent micellar flood. Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal 1977;17(05):358-68.
- Platt Jr JL. Poly-(alpha-alkoxy) acrylamide and poly-(alpha-alkoxy) acrylamide complexes. Google Patents; 1981.
- Hunter TN, Pugh RJ, Franks GV, Jameson GJ. The role of particles in stabilising foams and emulsions. Advances in colloid and interface science 2008;137 (2):57-81.
- Cao R, Cheng L, Lian P. Flow behavior of viscoelastic polymer solution in porous media. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology 2015;36(1): 41-50.
- 13. Talley RR. Secondary recovery process. Google Patents; 1993.
- 14. McCormick CL, Chen GS, Hutchinson BH. Water-soluble copolymers. ٧. Compositional determination of random copolymers of acrylamide with sulfonated comonomers by infrared spectroscopy and C13 nuclear magnetic resonance. Journal of Applied Polymer Science 1982;27(8): 3103-20.





- 15. Wan W-M, Pickett PD, Savin DA, McCormick CL. Structurally controlled "polysoaps" via RAFT copolymerization of AMPS and n-dodecyl acrylamide for environmental remediation. Polymer Chemistry 2014;5(3):819-27.
- Martin F, Hatch M, Shepitka J, Ward J. Improved water-soluble polymers for enhanced recovery of oil. *SPE oilfield and geothermal chemistry symposium.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 1983.
- 17. Osterloh W, Jante Jr M. Surfactant-polymer flooding with anionic PO/EO surfactant microemulsions containing polyethylene glycol additives. *SPE/DOE Enhanced Oil Recovery Symposium.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 1992.
- Thomas S, Ali S, Scoular J, Verkoczy B. Chemical methods for heavy oil recovery. Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology 2001;40(03).
- 19. Schramm LL. Surfactants: fundamentals and applications in the petroleum industry. Cambridge University Press; 2000.
- Du Y, Guan L. Field-scale polymer flooding: lessons learnt and experiences gained during past 40 years. *SPE International Petroleum Conference in Mexico.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2004.
- A.N. El-hoshoudy MMM, S.M. Desouky, M. Ramzi,, Attia AM. Experimental, modeling and simulation investigations of a novel surfmer-co-poly acrylates crosslinked hydrogels for water shut-off and improved oil recovery. Journal of Molecular Liquids 2019;277:142-56.
- 22. a.n. el-hoshoudy SMD. Synthesis and evaluation of acryloylated starch-g-poly (Acrylamide/ Vinylmethacrylate/1-Vinyl-2-pyrrolidone) crosslinked terpolymer functionalized by dimethylphenylvinylsilane derivative as a novel polymer-flooding agent. international Journal of Biological Macromolecules 2018;116:434-42.
- 23. a.n. el-hoshoudy SMD, A.M. Attia. Synthesis of starch functionalized sulfonic acid co-imidazolium/ silica composite for improving oil recovery through chemical flooding technologies. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 2018.
- 24. El-hoshoudy A, Desouky S, Alsabagh A, Betiha M, MY E-k, Mahmoud S. Evaluation of solution and

rheological properties for hydrophobically associated polyacrylamide copolymer as a promised enhanced oil recovery candidate. Egyptian Journal of Petroleum 2017.

- 25. El-hoshoudy A, Desouky S, Elkady M, Alsabagh A, Betiha M, Mahmoud S. Hydrophobically associated polymers for wettability alteration and enhanced oil recovery–Article review. Egyptian Journal of Petroleum 2017.
- el-hoshoudy an. Quaternary ammonium based surfmer-co-acrylamide polymers for altering carbonate rock wettability during water flooding. Journal of Molecular Liquids 2018.
- el-hoshoudy AN. Synthesis of acryloylated starch-gpoly acrylates crosslinked polymer functionalized by emulsified vinyltrimethylsilane derivative as a novel EOR agent for severe polymer flooding strategy. International journal of Biological Macromolecules 2019;123:124-32.
- El-hoshoudy AN DS, Attia AM, S G. Synthesis and Evaluation of Xanthan-G-Poly (Acrylamide) CoPolymer for Enhanced Oil Recovery Applications. Petroleum & Petrochemical Engineering Journal 2018;2(3).
- 29. El-Hoshoudy A, Desouky S, Betiha M, Alsabagh A. Use of 1-vinyl imidazole based surfmers for preparation of polyacrylamide–SiO2 nanocomposite through aza-Michael addition copolymerization reaction for rock wettability alteration. Fuel 2016;170:161-75.
- El-hoshoudy A. Quaternary ammonium based surfmer-co-acrylamide polymers for altering carbonate rock wettability during water flooding. Journal of Molecular Liquids 2018;250:35-43.
- 31. El-Hoshoudy A, Desouky S. Synthesis and evaluation of acryloylated starch-g-poly (Acrylamide/ Vinylmethacrylate/1-Vinyl-2-pyrrolidone) crosslinked terpolymer functionalized by dimethylphenylvinylsilane derivative as a novel polymer-flooding agent. International journal of biological macromolecules 2018;116:434-42.
- 32. El-Hoshoudy A, Desouky S, Attia A. Synthesis of starch functionalized sulfonic acid co-imidazolium/ silica composite for improving oil recovery through





chemical flooding technologies. International journal of biological macromolecules 2018.

- Gou S, Luo S, Liu T, Xia H, Jing D, Zhang Q, et al. Thermally stable imidazoline-based sulfonate copolymers for enhanced oil recovery. RSC advances 2015;5(104):85165-73.
- 34. Hsieh H, Moradi-Araghi A, Stahl G, Westerman I. Water-soluble polymers for hostile environment enhanced oil recovery applications. *Makromolekulare Chemie. Macromolecular Symposia.* 64. Wiley Online Library; 1992:121-35.
- 35. Lai N, Qin X, Ye Z, Peng Q, Zhang Y, Ming Z. Synthesis and evaluation of a water-soluble hyperbranched polymer as enhanced oil recovery chemical. Journal of Chemistry 2013;2013.
- 36. Liu R, Pu W, Sheng JJ, Du D. Star-like hydrophobically associative polyacrylamide for enhanced oil recovery: comprehensive properties in harsh reservoir conditions. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers 2017;80:639-49.
- 37. Sun J, Xu X, Wang J, Zhang W, Yang H, Jing X, et al. Synthesis and emulsification properties of an amphiphilic polymer for enhanced oil recovery. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology 2010;31(7):931-5.
- Tian M, Xu YJ. The Preparation and Characterization of AM/2-EHA/VTEOS Copolymer as Profile Control Agent. *Advanced Materials Research.* 602. Trans Tech Publ; 2013:732-8.
- Tong D, Yesiloz G, Ren CL, Madhuranthakam CMR. Controlled Synthesis of Poly (acrylamide-co-sodium acrylate) Copolymer Hydrogel Microparticles in a Droplet Microfluidic Device for Enhanced Properties. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 2017;56(51):14972-9.
- 40. Xu X, Ouyang J, Wang Y, Wang C. Experimental investigation using an acrylamide-based polymer with emulsifying capability for enhanced oil recovery: A preliminary study. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 2017;55:110-8.
- 41. Zhang P, Bai S, Chen S, Li D, Jia Z, Zhou C. Preparation, solution characteristics and displacement performances of a novel acrylamide

copolymer for enhanced oil recovery (EOR). Polymer Bulletin 2018;75(3):1001-11.

- 42. A.N. El-hoshoudy, Desouky SM. Hydrophobic Polymers for Enhanced Oil Recovery: Preparation, Characterization, Rheological Properties. Lambert Academic Publishing; 2016.
- 43. El-Hoshoudy AN, Desouky S. CO2 Miscible Flooding for Enhanced Oil Recovery. Carbon Capture, Utilization and Sequestration. IntechOpen; 2018.
- 44. Abidin A, Puspasari T, Nugroho W. Polymers for enhanced oil recovery technology. Procedia Chemistry 2012;4:11-6.
- 45. Chauveteau G, Sorbie KS. Mobility control by polymers. Elsevier Science Publishers; 1991.
- 46. Chen H, Lucas L, Nogaret L, Yang H, Kenyon D. Laboratory monitoring of surfactant imbibition using computerized tomography. SPE International Petroleum Conference and Exhibition in Mexico. Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2000.
- 47. Esene C, Rezaei N, Aborig A, Zendehboudi S. Comprehensive review of carbonated water injection for enhanced oil recovery. Fuel 2019;237:1086-107.
- Lazar I, Petrisor I, Yen T. Microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR). Petroleum Science and Technology 2007;25(11):1353-66.
- 49. Sunmonu RM, Onyekonwu M. Enhanced oil recovery using foam injection; a mechanistic approach. *SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2013.
- 50. Mai A, Kantzas A. Heavy oil waterflooding: effects of flow rate and oil viscosity. Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology 2009;48(03):42-51.
- 51. Koottungal L. Special Report 2010 worldwide EOR survey. Oil & Gas Journal 2010;108(14):41-53.
- Dai Y-h, Wu F-p, Li M-z, Wang E-j. Properties and influence of hydrophobically associating polyacrylamide modified with 2-phenoxylethylacrylate. Frontiers of Materials Science in China 2008;2(1):113-8.
- 53. Seright RS, Fan T, Wavrik KE, Wan H, Gaillard N, Favéro C. Rheology of a new sulfonic associative polymer in porous media. *SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2011.





- 54. Pope GA. Overview of chemical EOR. *Casper EOR Workshop.* The University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX; 2007.
- El-hoshoudy AN, Desouky SM, Betiha MH, Alsabagh AM. Hydrophobic Polymers Flooding. Application and Characterization of Surfactants. IntechOpen; 2017.
- 56. Sorbie KS. Polymer-Improved oil recovery, Blackie and Son Ltd. Glasgow and London 1991.
- 57. Ezell RG, McCormick CL. Electrolyte-and pH-responsive polyampholytes with potential as viscosity-control agents in enhanced petroleum recovery. Journal of applied polymer science 2007;104(5):2812-21.
- Zheng C, Gall B, Gao H, Miller A, Bryant R. Effects of polymer adsorption and flow behavior on two-phase flow in porous. *SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 1998.
- Mukherjee S, Das SS, Dhar J, Chakraborty S, DasGupta S. Electroosmosis of viscoelastic fluids: Role of wall depletion layer. Langmuir 2017;33 (43):12046-55.
- 60. Seright RS. Clean up of oil zones after a gel treatment. *SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2005.
- 61. Ali L, Barrufet M. Using centrifuge data to investigate the effects of polymer treatment on relative permeability. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 2001;29(1):1-16.
- Mansour AM, Al-Maamari RS, Al-Hashmi AS, Zaitoun A, Al-Sharji H. In-situ rheology and mechanical degradation of EOR polyacrylamide solutions under moderate shear rates. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 2014;115:57-65.
- 63. Manafi M, Manafi P, Agarwal S, Bharti AK, Asif M, Gupta VK. Synthesis of nanocomposites from polyacrylamide and graphene oxide: application as flocculants for water purification. Journal of colloid and interface science 2017;490:505-10.
- Silva CC, Farias JP, de Sant'Ana HB. Evaluation of AISI 316L stainless steel welded plates in heavy petroleum environment. Materials & Design 2009;30 (5):1581-7.

- 65. Wei B. Flow characteristics of three enhanced oil recovery polymers in porous media. Journal of Applied Polymer Science 2015;132(10).
- 66. Tanaka T. Experimental methods in polymer science: modern methods in polymer research and technology. Academic Press; 2000.
- 67. Yiqiang L, Junxin G, Dandan Y, Junjian L, Hualong L. Study on the matching relationship between polymer hydrodynamic characteristic size and pore throat radius of target block S based on the microporous membrane filtration method. Journal of Chemistry 2014;2014.
- Shi J, Varavei A, Huh C, Delshad M, Sepehrnoori K, Li X. Transport model implementation and simulation of microgel processes for conformance and mobility control purposes. Energy & Fuels 2011;25(11): 5063-75.
- Zhong C, Luo P, Ye Z, Chen H. Characterization and solution properties of a novel water-soluble terpolymer for enhanced oil recovery. Polymer Bulletin 2009;62(1):79-89.
- Qiao R, Zhu W. Evaluation of modified cationic starch for impeding polymer channeling and in-depth profile control after polymer flooding. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 2010;16(2):278-82.
- 71. Ghosh B, Bemani A, Wahaibi Y, Hadrami H, Boukadi FH. Development of a novel chemical water shut-off method for fractured reservoirs: Laboratory development and verification through core flow experiments. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 2012;96:176-84.
- 72. Lai N, Qin X, Ye Z, Li C, Chen K, Zhang Y. The study on permeability reduction performance of a hyperbranched polymer in high permeability porous medium. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 2013;112:198-205.
- 73. Salehi MB, Vasheghani-Farahani E, Sefti MV, Moghadam AM, Naderi H. Rheological and transport properties of sulfonated polyacrylamide hydrogels for water shutoff in porous media. Polymers for Advanced Technologies 2014;25(4):396-405.
- 74. Singh R, Mahto V. Synthesis, characterization and evaluation of polyacrylamide graft starch/clay



PenoccessPub

nanocomposite hydrogel system for enhanced oil recovery. Petroleum Science 2017;14(4):765-79.

- 75. Yang-Chuan K, Guang-Yao W, Yi W. Preparation, morphology and properties of nanocomposites of polyacrylamide copolymers with monodisperse silica. European Polymer Journal 2008;44(8):2448-57.
- 76. El-hoshoudy A, Mohammedy M, Ramzi M, Desouky S, Attia A. Experimental, modeling and simulation investigations of a novel surfmer-co-poly acrylates crosslinked hydrogels for water shut-off and improved oil recovery. Journal of Molecular Liquids 2019;277:142-56.
- 77. Zaitoun A, Makakou P, Blin N, Al-Maamari RS, Al-Hashmi A-AR, Abdel-Goad M. Shear stability of EOR polymers. Spe Journal 2012;17(02):335-9.
- 78. Bastiat G, Grassl B, François J. Study of sodium dodecyl sulfate/poly (propylene oxide) methacrylate mixed micelles the synthesis for of thermo-associative micellar polymers by polymerization. Polymer 2002;51 international (10):958-65.
- Feng Y, Billon L, Grassl B, Bastiat G, Borisov O, François J. Hydrophobically associating polyacrylamides and their partially hydrolyzed derivatives prepared by post-modification. 2. Properties of non-hydrolyzed polymers in pure water and brine. Polymer 2005;46(22):9283-95.
- Zhao Y, Zhou J, Xu X, Liu W, Zhang J, Fan M, et al. Synthesis and characterization of a series of modified polyacrylamide. Colloid and Polymer Science 2009;287(2):237-41.
- Gao B, Wu N, Li Y. Study on tercopolymer of acrylamide containing strong anions and hydrophobic association blocks. Acta Polymerica Sinica 2004(5):736-42.
- 82. Camail M, Margaillan A, Martin I. Copolymers of Nalkyl-and N-arylalkylacrylamides with acrylamide: influence of hydrophobic structure on associative properties. Part I: viscometric behaviour in dilute solution and drag reduction performance. Polymer International 2009;58(2):149-54.
- 83. Niu Y, Jian O, Zhu Z, Wang G, Sun G. Research on hydrophobically associating water-soluble polymer used for EOR. *SPE International Symposium on*

Oilfield Chemistry. Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2001.

- Candau F, Selb J. Hydrophobically-modified polyacrylamides prepared by micellar polymerization1. Advances in colloid and interface science 1999;79(2-3):149-72.
- Shashkina YA, Zaroslov YD, Smirnov V, Philippova O, Khokhlov A, Pryakhina T, et al. Hydrophobic aggregation in aqueous solutions of hydrophobically modified polyacrylamide in the vicinity of overlap concentration. Polymer 2003;44(8):2289-93.
- 86. Gao B, Wu N, Li Y. Interaction between the strong anionic character of strong anions and the hydrophobic association property of hydrophobic blocks in macromolecular chains of a water-soluble copolymer. Journal of applied polymer science 2005;96(3):714-22.
- Maia AM, Borsali R, Balaban RC. Comparison between a polyacrylamide and a hydrophobically modified polyacrylamide flood in a sandstone core. Materials Science and Engineering: C 2009;29 (2):505-9.
- Hu S-S, Zhang L, Cao X-L, Guo L-L, Zhu Y-W, Zhang L, et al. Influence of crude oil components on interfacial dilational properties of hydrophobically modified polyacrylamide. Energy & Fuels 2015;29 (3):1564-73.
- 89. Evani S, Rose G. Water soluble hydrophobe association polymers. Polym Mater Sci Eng 1987;57:477-81.
- 90. Rodrigues JdA, Lachter ER, de Sá CH, de Mello M, Nascimento RSV. New multifunctional polymeric additives for water-based muds. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2006.
- 91. Gouveia LM, Paillet S, Khoukh A, Grassl B, Müller AJ. The effect of the ionic strength on the rheological behavior of hydrophobically modified polyacrylamide aqueous solutions mixed with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) or cetyltrimethylammonium p-toluenesulfonate (CTAT). Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 2008;322 (1-3):211-8.





- Zhong C, Huang R, Xu J. Characterization, solution behavior, and microstructure of a hydrophobically associating nonionic copolymer. Journal of Solution Chemistry 2008;37(9):1227-43.
- 93. Dalrymple ED, Eoff LS, Everett DM. Conformance while fracturing tight gas formations. *SPE Tight Gas Completions Conference.* Society of Petroleum Engineers; 2008.
- 94. Ranjbar M, Schaffie M. Improved treatment of acrylamide co-and terpolymers for water control in gas-producing and storage wells. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 2000;26(1-4): 133-41.
- 95. Guyot A. Advances in reactive surfactants. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 2004;108:3-22.
- 96. Texter J. Reactions and synthesis in surfactant systems. CRC Press; 2001.
- 97. Atta AM, Dyab AK, Al-Lohedan HA, AlJenady KA. Novel reactive polymerizable nonyl phenol ethoxylate surfactants as emulsifier in non-aqueous emulsion polymerization. Polymer Science Series B 2014;56 (6):770-87.
- Xu X, Goh H, Siow K, Gan L. Synthesis of polymerizable anionic surfactants and their emulsion copolymerization with styrene. Langmuir 2001;17 (20):6077-85.
- Borzenkov M, Mitina N, Lobaz V, Hevus O. Synthesis and Properties of Novel Surface Active Monomers Based on Derivatives of 4-Hydroxybutyric Acid and 6-Hydroxyhexanoic Acid. Journal of Surfactants and Detergents 2015;18(1):133-44.