

International Journal of Heart Research

Review Article

Freely Available Online

Outcome of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Among Very Elderly Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease

A K Al Miraj^{1,*}, Quazi Deen Mohammad², Sharfuddin Ahmed³, Muhammad Kamal Hossain⁴, Mohammad Rashal Chowdhury⁵, Muhammad Ata Ullah⁶

¹Research Assistant, Department of Vascular Surgery, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) Dhaka, Bangladesh.

²Professor, Neurology & Director of National Institute of Neuroscience, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

³Vice Chancellor

Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU)Dhaka, Bangladesh.

⁴Consultant, Department of Cardiology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) Dhaka, Bangladesh.

⁵Medical Officer , Department of Vascular surgery Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) Dhaka, Bangladesh

⁶Assistant Professor Department of Cardiac Surgery, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU)

Corresponding author:

A K Al Miraj, Research Assistant, Department of Vascular Surgery, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Keywords:

Outcome of PCI, Very elderly patients, Ischemic Heart Disease.

Received: June 17, 2022

Accepted: Sep 14, 2022

Published: Sep 26, 2022

Editor:

Ian James Martins, Edith Cowan University, USA

Abstract

Background: Cardiovascular disease, and ischemic heart disease (IHD), is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in the very elderly patients worldwide. In the

developing countries like Bangladesh it has been increasing with time. Due to life style, food habits and urbanisation. These patients represent a rapidly growing cohort presenting for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), now constituting more than one in five patients treated with PCI in real-world practice.

Materials and Methods: From July 2020 we included 152 patients with IHD purposively in Cardiology department of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University Dhaka, Bangladesh undergone PCI who were divided into 2 groups according to age: e" 75 years (n = 51) and <75 years (n = 101). Baseline clinical characteristics, indications for coronary intervention, in hospital outcomes were obtained. Study endpoint was Renal impairment, MI, LVF, emergency revascularization and death.

Results: Very elderly patients were more frequently male (86.2%) and nonsmoker at present (41.1% vs.



Pen Occess Pub

63.3%, p=0.003), had higher prevalence of hypertension (60.7% vs. 50.4%, p<0.13), and more often presented with NSTEMI (54.9% vs. 23.7%, p<0.001). Elderly group had higher incidence of TVD and LM disease (37.2% vs. 26.7% and 9.8% vs. 2.9%, p=0.07) and more incidence of ostial (17.6% vs.5.9%,p=0.007) and calcified lesions (31.3% vs. 14.8%, p=0.004). Procedural success (TIMI III) were high in both groups, but still lower in the elderly as compared to younger group (96% vs. 97%, p=0.65). Very elderly patients had higher incidence of post PCI bleeding, CIN, MI, LVF and death (9.8% vs.5.9%, 7.8% vs.3.9%, 5.8% vs.5.9%, 9.8% vs. 4.9% and 5.8%vs.3.9%,p=0.07), whereas emergency revascularization were higher in younger group (5.8% vs. 6.9%, p=0.07).

Conclusion: Very elderly patients aged ≥80 years face more vascular site complications during PCI, usually have more LM and TVD with more ostial and calcified lesions in comparison with younger group. Though procedural success is similar with younger group, they face more post PCI CIN, LVF and MI. Repeat revascularization was higher in younger group.

Introduction

Population ageing is a major public health issue in developing countries. Aging is an independent major risk factor of ischemic heart disease. Coronary artery disease is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity in very elderly patients[1]. Morbidity and mortality from ischemic heart disease are strongly associated with age, especially for people over 80 years old (very elderly patients). Elderly patients usually have comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus[2,3]. They are more likely to have tortuous vasculature, arterial calcification, and complex coronary lesions [4,5]. Hence, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for the elderly is always challenging. In-hospital mortality among very elderly aged e"80 years or very elderly patients after PCI was reported to be up to 4.1% in 2007.5 The rates were 3.8% in the National Cardiovascular Network data 6 and 3.8% in the American College of Cardiology/National Cardiovascular Data Registry [6] indicating a 4-fold increase in risk. PCI environments such as expert cardiac catheterization laboratories. transradial access. and drugeluting stent are generally believed to be able to improve the outcome of PCI in this patient group [7,8]. However, the safety and efficacy of PCI in very elderly patients remain controversial [9,10]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to observe the clinical outcomes of multivessel coronary intervention in very elderly patients hospitalized for acute coronary syndrome and compared to that with younger counterparts. Furthermore, they often have greater ischemic burden than their younger counterparts, suggesting that they have greater scope of benefit from coronary revascularization therapy. The elderly usually has higher prevalence of co morbidities and more often experience complications during and after revascularization procedures. Our aim was to evaluate clinical outcomes of PCI in patients older than 80 years, compared to their younger counterparts.

Materials and Methods

A 152 patients purposively with IHD undergoing Coronary angiogram followed by Percutaneous coronary intervention where indicated in Cardiology department of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University Hospital Dhaka, Bangladesh. Study populations were divided into 2 groups according to age; e" 75 years (n = 51) were in group I and < 75 years (n = 101) were in group II. Baseline clinical characteristics including age, sex, risk factors of coronary artery diseases (Hypertension, Diabetes Mellitus, Dyslipidemia and Smoking), indications for coronary intervention (Chronic stable angina, unstable angina, Non STEMI, STEMI), and Angiographic findings, in hospital outcomes were evaluated. All patients received a heparin bolus (5000- 10000 IU). Routine antiplatelets treatment included long term Aspirin, and Clopidogrel for at least one year or preferably lifelong. Study endpoint was in-hospital outcome such as Vascular site complication (bleeding that requires transfusion, retroperitoneal hemorrhage and nonhemorrhagic complications such as pseudoaneurysm, arteriovenous fistula, arterial dissection, thrombosis and limb ischemia), Contrast induced nephropathy (Elevation of serum creatinine of more than 25% or e"0.5 mg/dl from baseline within 48 h),



©2022 A K Al Miraj, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

pendccessPub -

Myocardial infarction, left ventricular failure, emergency revascularization and Death) & 1 year follow up for Myocardial infarction, Repeat revascularization and death.

Data obtained from the study were analyzed and significance of differences were estimated by using statistical methods. Variables were analyzed by chi- square test and t-test where applicable. P value P<0.05 were considered as significant. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS, version 16.0 (SPSS Inc).

Results and Discussion

Study population were divided into two groups. 51 patients were in group I aged e" 80 years and (101 patients were in group II aged <80 years. Mean age was 83.65±4.53 years for group I and 56.44±9.67 years for group II. Male were significantly higher among very elderly (86.2% vs.73.2%, p=0.03). Among the risk factors diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia were significantly higher in very elderly (62.7% vs.37.6% for diabetes, p=0.001 and 60.7% vs 50.4% for dvslipidemia. p=0.001). whereas Current smoking were significantly higher in younger group (41.1% vs.63.3%, p= 0.003). Among the population of group I and groups II STEMI, NSTEMI, UA and CSA were 9 (17.6%) vs. 18 (17.8%), 28(54.9%) vs.24 (23.7%), 9 (17.6%) vs. 32 (31.6%) and 7 (13.7%) vs. 28 (27.7%) respectively. NSTEMI was significantly higher among very elderly patients whereas STEMI, UA, CSA were significantly higher in younger group (p= 0.001). Echocardiographic findings showed left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were significantly higher in very elderly patients (17.6% vs. 6.9%, p= 0.024) (Table I). Coronary angiogram of study population (Table II) showed triple vessel disease(TVD)(37.2% vs. 26.7%) and left main disease (LMD) (9.8% vs. 2.9%) were more in very elderly patients whereas SVD and DVD were more in younger group, although the differences were not statistically significant (p=0.70). On the other hand, ostial (17.6% vs. 2.9%, p=0.007) and calcified (31.3% vs. 14.8%, 0.004) lesions were significantly higher in very elderly patients. Study of in-hospital outcomes (Table III) showed vascular site complications were significantly higher in very elderly patients (9.8% vs. 5.9%, p= 0.031). Post PCI

MI (5.8%vs.5.9%), LVF (9.8% vs. 4.9%), CIN (7.8% vs.3.9%) and death (5.8% vs. 3.9%) were numerically (p= 0.07) higher in very elderly patients whereas post PCI TIMI III flow (96.0% vs.97.0%) and emergency revascularization were higher in younger group.

Discussion

Some previous studies have compared the outcomes of PCI in patients with different ages and found that adverse events are increased with age and severity of the disease [11, 12]. Therefore; physicians are often reluctant to treat elderly patients aggressively. Elderly patients are usually referred late for revascularization. In addition, PCI in very elderly patients is often performed to relieve symptoms rather than for complete revascularization, although they have more extensive coronary disease than their younger counterparts [13]. In addition, the elderly do not receive proper diagnosis or treatment in a timely manner for a number of reasons, including economic conditions [14]. Therefore, coronary disease in the elderly is more progressive, and the prognosis of PCI in the elderly is usually poor than that of their younger counterparts. Our results revealed that very elderly patients undergoing PCI due to ACS were mostly hypertensive male, and usually present with NSTEMI and poor LV function. They face more bleeding and vascular site complications during PCI, usually have more LM and TVD with more ostial and calcified lesions in comparison to younger group. Though procedural success is similar with younger group, very elderly patients undergo more post PCI bleeding, CIN, LVF and MI. Repeat revascularization was higher in younger group. The clinical characteristics were not significantly different between the two groups. The incidence of major complications that would increase the mortality was not significantly different between the two groups. Despite of the high risk factor with old age, previous reports on invasive treatment in the elderly with coronary artery disease have shown that PCI results in the elderly are not inferior compared to PCI in younger patients [15]. The APPROACH (Alberta Provincial Project for Outcomes Assessment in Coronary Heart Disease) Registry has



Pen Occess Pub

demonstrated long-term survival benefit in very elderly patients with coronary artery disease who are treated with either surgical or percutaneous revascularization compared to those who are treated with medical therapy [16]. Thrombolysis in Myocardial infarction (TIMI) trial has also demonstrated that invasive strategy can provide early symptom relief and better quality of life compared to those who receive optimal medical treatment (Reference)[17]. In patients aged >80 years with acute coronary syndrome, early PCI has been shown to be able to achieve better outcomes than medical treatment alone [17-19]. In the era of drug eluting stent (DES), Hassani et al [20]. have demonstrated a low mortality rate in very elderly patients with stable angina (4.1%) at 6 months. Some studies have found that mortality rates in acute coronary syndrome (15%) and ST elevation in myocardial infarction 31%) among very elderly patients remained significantly high [21]. Meanwhile, other studies have shown that mortality and incidence of major complications after PCI in very elderly patients with acute coronary syndrome are not higher than those in their younger counterparts[22].

Conclusion

Very elderly patients undergoing PCI due to ACS are mostly hypertensive male, usually present with NSTEMI and poor LV function. They face more bleeding and vascular site complications during PCI, usually have more LM and TVD with more ostial and calcified lesions in comparison with younger group. Though procedural success is similar with younger group, very elderly patients experience more post PCI bleeding, CIN, LVF and MI. Emergency repeat revascularization was higher in younger group. Although immediate interventional procedure related complications are more in very elderly patients, long term outcomes seem to be promising & comparable with younger counterparts. As it is a single centre, non-randomized, small sample study further studies into the optimal pharmacologic and interventional ACS management strategies in very elderly patients are warranted. Limitations

This study was conducted in Cardiology

department of National Institute of cardiovascular diseases which was a single center study. In this study small numbers of subjects were included fulfilling predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Sampling method was purposive and follow up time was short.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- 1. Keller NM, Feit F. Atherosclerotic heart disease in the elderly. Curr Opin Cardiol 1995; 10:427-33.
- Taddei CF, Weintraub WS, Douglas JS Jr, Ghazzal Z, Mahoney E, Thompson T, et al. Influence of age on outcome after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Am J Cardiol 1999; 84: 245-51.
- Cohen HA, Williams DO, Holmes DR Jr, Selzer F, Kip KE, Johnston JM, et al. Impact of age on procedural and 1-year outcome in percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty: a report from the NHLBI Dynamic Registry. Am Heart J 2003; 146:513-9
- Dziewierz A, Siudak Z, Rakowski T, Dubiel JS, Dudek D. Age-related differences in treatment strategies and clinical outcomes in unselected cohort of patients with STsegment elevation myocardial infarction transferred for primary angioplasty. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2012; 34:214-21.
- Batchelor WB, Anstrom KJ, Muhlbaier LH, Grosswald R, Weintraub WS, O'Neill WW, et al. Contemporary outcome trends in the elderly undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions: results in 7,472 very elderly patients. National Cardiovascular Network Collaboration. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000; 36: 723-30.
- Dangas GD, Singh HS. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention in very elderly patients: navigate with caution. Heart 2010; 96:813-4.
- Santana JO, Haft JI, LaMarche NS, Goldstein JE. Coronary angioplasty in patients eighty years of age or older. Am Heart J 1992; 124:13-8.
- 8. Jolly SS, Yusuf S, Cairns J, Niemela K, Xavier D, Widimsky P, et al. Radial versus femoral access for



©2022 A K Al Miraj, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially. coronary angiography and intervention in patients with acute coronary syndromes (RIVAL): a randomised, parallel group, multicentre trial. Lancet 2011; 377:1409-20.

pen access Pub

- Minai K, Horie H, Takahashi M, Nozawa M, Kinoshita M. Long-term outcome of primary percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty for low-risk acute myocardial infarction in patients older than 80 years: a single-center, open, randomized trial. Am Heart J 2002; 143:497-505.
- 10. Klein LW, Block P, Brindis RG, McKay CR, McCallister BD, Wolk M, et al. Percutaneous coronary interventions in very elderly patients in the American College of Cardiology- National Cardiovascular Data Registry: development of a nomogram predictive of in-hospital mortality. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002; 40:394-402.
- 11. Feldman DN, Gade CL, Slotwiner AJ, Parikh M, Bergman G, Wong SC, et al. Comparison of outcomes of Percutaneous coronary interventions in patients of three age groups (<60, 60 to 80, and >80 years) (from the New York State Angioplasty Registry). Am J Cardiol 2006; 98:1334-9.
- 12. Weintraub WS, Veledar E, Thompson T, Burnette J, Jurkovitz C, Mahoney E. Percutaneous coronary intervention outcomes in very elderly patients during the stent era (National Cardiovascular Network). Am J Cardiol 2001; 88:1407-10, A6.
- Spertus JA, Salisbury AC, Jones PG, Conaway DG, Thompson RC. Predictors of qualityof life benefit after Percutaneous coronary intervention. Circulation 2004; 110:3789-94.
- 14. Lee HT, Shin J, Lim YH, Kim KS, Kim SG, Kim JH, et al. Health-related quality of life in coronary heart disease in Korea: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007 to 2011. Angiology 2015; 66:326-32.
- Coronary angioplasty versus medical therapy for angina: the second Randomised Intervention Treatment of Angina (RITA-2) trial. RITA-2 trial participants. Lancet 1997; 350: 461-8.

- Graham MM, Ghali WA, Faris PD, Galbraith PD, Norris CM, Knudtson ML, et al. Survival after coronary revascularization in the elderly. Circulation 2002; 105:2378-84.
- 17. TIME Investigators. Trial of invasive versus medical therapy in elderly patients with chronic symptomatic coronary-artery disease (TIME): a randomised trial. Lancet 2001; 358:951-7.
- 18. Kaiser C, Kuster GM, Erne P, Amann W, Naegeli B, Osswald S, et al. Risks and benefits of optimised medical and revascularization therapy in elderly patients with angina—ontreatment analysis of the TIME trial. Eur Heart J 2004; 25:1036-42.
- 19. Pfisterer M; Trial of Invasive versus Medical therapy in Elderly patients Investigators. Longterm outcome in elderly patients with chronic angina managed invasively versus by optimized medical therapy: fouryear follow-up of the randomized Trial of Invasive versus Medical therapy in Elderly patients (TIME). Circulation 2004; 110:1213-8.
- 20. Hassani SE, Wolfram RM, Kuchulakanti PK, Xue Z, Gevorkian N, Suddath WO, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents in very elderly patients: characteristics, clinical presentation, and outcomes. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2006; 68:36 -43.
- Lopez-Palop R,Carrillo P, Frutos A, Cordero A, Nunez D, Toro M, et al. Safety and efficacy of coronary drugeluting stents in very elderly patients. Rev Esp Cardiol 2009; 62:1250-9.
- 22. Chauhan MS, Kuntz RE, Ho KL, Cohen DJ, Popma JJ, Carrozza JP Jr, et al. Coronary artery stenting in the aged. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001; 37:856-62.

